

SFDR Periodic Report

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product Name : Schroder ISF Global Multi-Asset Income
Legal Entity Identifier : 9P2R2H6H0KS8FL2XQC82

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

Yes

No

☐

It made **sustainable investments with an environmental objective:** __%

☐

in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐

in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☐

It made **sustainable investments with a social objective:** __%

☒

It **promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics** and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 53% of sustainable investments

☐

with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☒

with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy

☒

with a social objective

☐

It promoted E/S characteristics, but **did not make any sustainable investments**

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Fund were met.

The Fund maintained a higher overall sustainability score than the 30% MSCI AC World Index (USD), 40% Barclays Global Aggregate Corporate Bond Index (USD) and 30% Barclays Global High Yield excl CMBS & EMG 2% Index (USD), based on the Investment Manager's rating system. This benchmark (which is a broad market index) is not a reference benchmark for the purposes of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund.

The sustainability score is measured by Schroders' proprietary tool that provides an aggregate estimate of the social and environmental costs and benefits that an issuer may create. It does this by scoring the issuer against a list of indicators – scores may be positive (for example, when an issuer pays more than average living wages) or negative (for example, when an issuer emits carbon). It does this using third party data as well as Schroders' own estimates and assumptions and the outcome may differ from other sustainability tools and measures.

The result is expressed as an aggregate score of the sustainability indicators for each issuer, specifically a notional percentage (positive or negative) of sales or GDP of the relevant underlying issuer. For example, a score of +2% would mean that for every \$100 of sales or GDP the issuer generates, it would provide a net positive contribution to society and/or the environment of \$2. The sustainability score of the Fund is derived from the scores of all eligible issuers in the Fund's portfolio measured by Schroders' proprietary tool.

The Fund also invested at least 10% of its assets in sustainable investments during the reference period.

The reference period for this Fund is 1 January 2024 to 31 December 2024.

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

• *How did the sustainability indicators perform?*

The Fund's sustainability score for the reference period was 0.4% and the benchmark's sustainability score for the reference period was -2.9%. This means that the Fund's weighted average score over a rolling six month period up to the end of the reference period was higher than the benchmark's weighted average score over the same period, based on month-end data.

In each case the sustainability score is calculated as described above.

During the reference period, the top 5 indicators in Schroders' proprietary tool that contributed positively to the sustainability score of the Fund were:

- Connectivity
- Contraception
- High Salaries
- Innovation
- Medicine

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

The Investment Manager invested 53% of the Fund’s assets in sustainable investments. This figure represents the average percentage of sustainable investments during the reference period, based on quarter-end data. Sustainable investments are measured by reference to the sustainability score in Schroders’ proprietary tool and / or whether the asset is classified as a green, social and / or sustainable bond.

The Fund also applied certain exclusions, with which the Investment Manager monitored compliance on an ongoing basis via its portfolio compliance framework.

• **...and compared to previous periods?**

Sustainable investments

This table details the percentage of assets invested in sustainable investments, year on year.

Period	Fund (%)
Jan 2024 - Dec 2024	53
Jan 2023 - Dec 2023	45
Aug 2022 - Dec 2022	42

Sustainability score

This table details the Fund's and benchmark's sustainability score, year on year.

Period	Fund (%)	Benchmark (%)
Jan 2024 - Dec 2024	0.4	-2.9
Jan 2023 - Dec 2023	0.5	-4.1
Jan 2022 - Dec 2022	0.4	-4.5

For 2022 the percentage of sustainable investments was calculated as an average over the last four months of the reference period. From 2023 the percentage is calculated as an average based on quarter-end data.

Prior to 2024 our methodology to calculate the percentage of sustainable investments did not take into account investments in other funds, which may have resulted in lower figures. From 2024 Schroder Sustainable funds are included within the calculation. This calculation is performed at fund level and not at the level of the individual underlying holdings, so the figure shown may be higher than if the underlying holdings were evaluated. Other non-Schroders funds held are not included in the calculation.

• **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

In respect of the proportion of the Fund’s portfolio that was invested in sustainable investments, each sustainable investment demonstrated a net positive effect across a range of environmental or social objectives, as scored by Schroders’ proprietary tool and / or was classified as a green, social and / or sustainable bond.

- The objectives of the sustainable investments that the Fund made included, but were not limited to:
- Connectivity: the estimated societal benefits from companies’ that enable or support the connection of communities through telecommunication services;
 - Contraception: the estimated societal benefits attained from the production and supply of contraceptives. Assigned in proportion to company market share of global revenue;
 - High Salaries: the estimated societal benefit of paying staff above local living wages (for regions in

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

which they operate). Assigned in proportion to the surplus companies are paying employees compared to the average living wage;

- Innovation: the estimated societal benefits arising from the investment in Research and Development (R&D). Assigned based on the unitary benefit of R&D spend, or estimated based on company patent applications; and

- Medicine: the estimated societal benefits arising from the additional social value the sale of such products and services exhibits of the wider economy. Assigned in proportion to the company's involvement in the healthcare value chain and proportion of company market share to global sub-sector revenue.

The above examples of the objectives of the sustainable investments that the Fund made during the reference period are based on the most significant objectives at each quarter-end. Other objectives may have applied during the reference period.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

• How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The Investment Manager's approach to not causing significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective included the following:

- Firm-wide exclusions applied to Schroders funds. These related to international conventions on cluster munitions, anti-personnel mines, and chemical and biological weapons and thermal coal mining. Further information and a list of excluded controversial weapons companies is available at <https://www.schroders.com/en/sustainability/active-ownership/group-exclusions/>.

- The Fund excluded companies that derive revenues above certain thresholds from activities related to tobacco and thermal coal.

- The Fund excluded companies that are assessed by Schroders to have breached one or more 'global norms' thereby causing significant environmental or social harm; these companies comprise Schroders' 'global norms' breach list. Schroders' determination of whether a company has been involved in such a breach considers relevant principles such as those contained in the UN Global Compact (UNGC) principles, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. The 'global norms' breach list may be informed by assessments performed by third party providers and by proprietary research, where relevant to a particular situation. In exceptional circumstances a derogation may have been applied in order to allow the Fund to continue to hold a company on Schroders' 'global norms' breach list, for example where the stated investment strategy of the Fund may otherwise be compromised. Any such company was not categorised as a sustainable investment.

- The Fund may have also applied certain other exclusions in addition to those summarised above. Further information on all of the Fund's investment exclusions is to be found under "Sustainability-Related Disclosure" on The Fund's webpage <https://www.schroders.com/en-lu/lu/individual/fund-centre>.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

When seeking to identify significant harm, Schroders' approach to taking into account the Principal Adverse Impacts (PAI) indicators involved taking both a quantitative and a qualitative approach.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

Investee companies deemed not to satisfy the quantitative thresholds would generally have been excluded, unless on a case-by-case basis the data was deemed not representative of a company's performance in the relevant area. Where it was not considered appropriate or feasible to set quantitative thresholds, the Investment Manager engaged, where relevant, in accordance with the priorities documented in Schroders' Engagement Blueprint and/or voting policy.

This framework is subject to ongoing review, particularly as the availability and quality of the data evolves.

Our approach included:

1. Quantitative: this included indicators where specific thresholds have been established:

- Via the application of exclusions. This approach is relevant to PAI 4 (Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector), PAI 5 (Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production) and PAI 14 (Exposure to controversial weapons). Further, the following PAIs were assessed as part of Schroders' 'global norms' breach list exclusion (which seeks to exclude companies where significant harm is occurring): PAI 7 (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas), PAI 8 (Emissions to water), PAI 9 (Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio), PAI 10 (Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises), PAI 11 (Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises) and PAI 14 in Table 3 (Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents).

- Via the application of an alert system flag if the relevant indicator(s) exceeded a threshold. These quantitative thresholds to assess significant harm are established centrally by our Sustainable Investment team and monitored systematically. This approach applies to indicators where we have segmented the population into harm groups to establish a threshold, such as carbon related PAI metrics, PAI 1 (GHG emissions), PAI 2 (Carbon footprint) and Voluntary PAI 4 in Table 2 (Investing in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives). PAI 3 (GHG intensity of investee companies) operates in a similar way but the threshold is based on a revenue metric. A threshold for PAI 6 (Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector) is established based on the above mentioned carbon measures. A similar approach has been taken for PAI 15 (GHG intensity). PAI 16 (Investee countries subject to social violations) also operates in the same way but based on data availability regarding social violations. Through this process the relevant issuer(s) that were deemed not to satisfy the quantitative thresholds were flagged to the Investment Manager for consideration, whose response may have involved selling the holdings(s) or maintaining the position if on a case-by-case basis the data was deemed not representative of a company's performance in the relevant area. Investee companies deemed to cause significant harm were excluded from the Fund.

2. Qualitative: This included PAI indicators where Schroders' believed that the data available did not enable us to make a quantitative determination regarding whether significant harm was done so as to warrant excluding an investment. In such cases, the Investment Manager engaged where possible with the company or companies held, in accordance with the priorities documented in Schroders' Engagement Blueprint and/or voting policy. This approach applies to indicators such as PAI 12 (Unadjusted gender pay gap) and PAI 13 (Board gender diversity), where we engaged and used our voting rights where we considered appropriate. Both board gender diversity and disclosure of gender pay gap information are captured in our Engagement Blueprint.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

The portion of the portfolio in sustainable investments were aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

Companies on Schroders' 'global norms' breach list were not categorised as sustainable investments. Schroders' determination of whether a company should be included on such list considered the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, among other relevant principles. The 'global norms' breach list was informed by third party providers and proprietary research, where relevant.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Fund allocated its assets across multiple underlying strategies. Some PAIs were considered at the Fund level, whilst other PAIs were considered at the level of the underlying strategies by the relevant Investment Manager where applicable. Further details on how these have been considered during the reference period are detailed below.

Some PAIs were considered as part of pre-investment through the application of exclusions. These included:

- Controversial weapons: PAI 14 (Exposure to controversial weapons, such as anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)
- UNGC Violators: PAI 10 (Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises) and Schroders' 'global norms' breach list which covers: PAI 7 (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas), PAI 8 (Emissions to water), PAI 9 (Hazardous waste ratio), PAI 10 (Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises), PAI 11 (Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises) and PAI 14 in Table 3 (Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents) – applied to Sustainable Investments.
- Companies that derive revenues above certain thresholds from activities related to thermal coal: (PAI 4 (Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector), PAI 5 (Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production) – applied to relevant underlying strategies.

Where appropriate PAIs were also considered through integration in the investment process, specifically the stock selection process in underlying strategies. For example the global equity strategy considered PAIs in the following way:

- Schroders' proprietary tool, which is used as part of screening the investment universe and for providing a maximum that can be invested in each company, incorporates several PAIs as a component of its scoring methodology. In assessing an issuer's overall environmental score,

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

PAIs 1, 2 and 3 were included. In assessing an issuer's overall social score, PAIs 12 and 13 were included.

The investment team monitored all PAI indicators via Schroders' PAI dashboard.

Other PAIs were considered via active ownership, which was performed indirectly via the underlying Investment Managers. Where applicable, based on the underlying strategies and the relevant Investment Manager's own investment process, PAIs were considered post-investment through engagement in line with the approach and expectations set out in Schroders Engagement Blueprint. Examples of PAIs captured via this approach included PAI 1 (GHG emissions), PAI 2 (Carbon footprint), PAI 3 (GHG intensity of investee companies) and PAI 13 (Board gender diversity).

During the reference period, we engaged with a major technology company, with a particular focus on environmental sustainability and artificial intelligence (AI) responsibility. Company representatives emphasized their commitment to reducing climate and emissions impacts, acknowledging the challenges posed by energy use in buildings and the carbon footprint of data centre construction. They also discussed their advocacy for energy policy changes and efforts to enhance renewable energy capacity. On responsible AI, the representatives highlighted safety measures, product review processes, and regulatory compliance, ensuring their products meet responsible AI standards. Specific processes for sensitive use cases and rigorous contracts for enterprise customers were also mentioned, along with tools to identify potential risks in AI products.

Additionally, we engaged with a Spanish multi-national fashion retailer to explore their approach to Just Resilience and support for workers in the supply chain facing physical climate risks and associated human rights issues. While the company's disclosures indicate an awareness of the need for resilience, they are still in the early stages of understanding supplier physical risks and their impact on people. The company's proximity sourcing may reduce exposure to Southeast Asian garment manufacturing hubs, but it remains unclear how they assess risks at the supplier level. The company does not yet fully integrate human rights adaptation measures in line with Just Resilience principles, relying instead on local legislation compliance. The company engages with trade unions to identify key issues for workers and plans to expand its disclosure on physical risk assessment and value at risk in future reports. Although concrete examples were lacking, it appears that the company is beginning to address these concerns, and future engagements can help share best practices to support their progress.

A summary of the Fund's engagement activity during the reference period, including the relevant engagement theme, is shown below:

Engagement Theme	# Issuers
Climate Change	152
Corporate Governance	70
Human Rights	64
Natural Capital and Biodiversity	44
Diversity and Inclusion	26
Human Capital Management	25

The engagements shown relate to engagements with companies and issuers.

Our approach is subject to ongoing review, particularly as the availability, and quality, of PAI data

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

evolves.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

During the reference period the top 15 investments were:

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is: **1 Jan 2024 to 31 Dec 2024**

Largest Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
SISF MLT-AST GRWT AND INC I DIS	Collective Investments	4.15	Luxembourg
SISFEmrgMultiAstIncIDis(IEMIL) MF	Collective Investments	3.80	Luxembourg
SCHRODER GAIA CAT BOND I ACCUMULATION	Collective Investments	1.67	Luxembourg
MICROSOFT CORPORATION COMMON STOCK USD0.0000125	Information Technology	1.26	United States
ALPHABET INCORPORATED CL A NPV	Information Technology	0.81	United States
APPLE INCORPORATED COMMON STOCK NPV	Information Technology	0.72	United States
TREASURY BILL SR GOVT 0% 03 Oct 2024	Cash Equivalents	0.70	United States
NVIDIA CORPORATION COMMON STOCK USD0.001	Information Technology	0.68	United States
BRAZIL FEDERATIVE REPUBLIC OF (GO SR NTN F 10% 01 Jan 2027	EMD Sovereign	0.67	Brazil
ASML HOLDING NV EUR0.09 (POST SPLIT)	Information Technology	0.44	Netherlands
AMAZON.COM INCORPORATED USD0.01	Consumer Discretionary	0.43	United States
CCO HOLDINGS LLC 144A 4.5% 15 Aug 2030	Industrial	0.40	United States
SIEMENS AG NPV (REGISTERED)	Industrial	0.40	Germany
HITACHI LIMITED NPV	Information Technology	0.39	Japan
FN MA5216 6% 01 Dec 2053	Securitized	0.38	United States

The list above represents the average of the Fund's holdings at each quarter-end during the reference period.

The largest investments and % of assets referred to above are derived from the Schroders Investment Book of Record (IBoR) data source. The largest investments and % of assets detailed elsewhere in the Audited Annual Report are derived from the Accounting Book of Record (ABoR) maintained by the administrator. As a result of these differing data sources, there may be differences in the largest investments and % of assets due to the differing calculation methodologies of these alternative data sources.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation

• What was the asset allocation?

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

describes the share of investments in specific assets.

The Fund's investments that were used to meet its environmental or social characteristics are summarised below.


#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the Fund's assets that were used to attain the environmental or social characteristics, which is equal to 90%. The Fund maintained a higher overall sustainability score than the 30% MSCI AC World Index (USD), 40% Barclays Global Aggregate Corporate Bond Index (USD) and 30% Barclays Global High Yield excl CMBS & EMG 2% Index (USD) and so the Fund's investments that were scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool are included within #1 on the basis that they contributed to the Fund's sustainability score (whether such individual investment had a positive or a negative score). The percentage in #1 Aligned E/S characteristics represents the average during the reference period, based on quarter-end data. From June 2024 also included within #1 are any green, social or sustainable bonds that were not scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool.

The Fund invested 53% of its assets in sustainable investments. This percentage represents the average during the reference period, based on quarter-end data. Within this, 27% was invested in sustainable investments with an environmental objective and 26% was invested in sustainable investments with a social objective. The percentage of sustainable investments with an environmental objective and the percentage of sustainable investments with a social objective may not sum to the percentage of sustainable investments, due to rounding. In respect of the proportion of the Fund's portfolio that was invested in sustainable investments, each sustainable investment demonstrated a net positive effect across a range of environmental or social objectives, as scored by Schroders' proprietary tool or from June 2024 was classified as a green, social and / or sustainable bond. With the exception of any green or social bonds, which will be classified as having an environmental and social objective respectively, a sustainable investment is classified as having an environmental or social objective depending on whether the relevant issuer has a higher score in Schroders' proprietary tool relative to its applicable peer group for its environmental indicators or its social indicators. In each case, indicators are comprised of both "costs" and "benefits".

#2 Other includes cash, which was treated as neutral for sustainability purposes. #2 also includes other investments that were not scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool and so did not contribute towards the Fund's sustainability score.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024



• In which economic sectors were the investments made?

During the reference period investments were made in the following economic sectors:

Sector	Sub-Sector	% Assets
Industrial	Capital Goods	5.06
Industrial	Healthcare	3.75
Industrial	Telecommunications	3.53
Industrial	Real Estate	3.33
Industrial	Media & Entertainment	3.29
Industrial	Gas-Distribution	2.24
Industrial	Leisure	2.14
Industrial	Services	1.72
Industrial	Energy - Exploration & Production	1.59
Industrial	Technology & Electronics	1.36
Industrial	Transportation	1.34

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

Sector	Sub-Sector	% Assets
Industrial	Automotive	1.30
Industrial	Retail	1.18
Industrial	Basic Industry	0.99
Industrial	Consumer Goods	0.91
Industrial	Oil Refining & Marketing	0.41
Industrial	Oil Field Equipment & Services	0.36
Industrial	Commercial & Professional Services	0.24
Industrial	Integrated Energy	0.16
Industrial	Financial	0.02
Financial	Banking	8.33
Financial	Financial Services	3.84
Financial	Insurance	2.30
Financial	Real Estate	0.10
Collective Investments	Unit Trusts	9.63
Collective Investments	Exchange Traded Funds	0.02
Information Technology	Software & Services	4.73
Information Technology	Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment	2.59
Information Technology	Technology Hardware & Equipment	1.81
Securitized	Mortgage Backed	6.32
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Discretionary Distribution & Retail	1.46
Consumer Discretionary	Automobiles & Components	1.15
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Durables & Apparel	0.68
Consumer Discretionary	Consumer Services	0.50
Consumer Discretionary	Media & Entertainment	0.33
EMD Sovereign	EMD Sovereign	3.74
Health Care	Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology & Life Sciences	2.85
Health Care	Health Care Equipment & Services	0.86
Cash	Cash	2.05
Cash	Margin Cash	0.77
Utilities	Electric - Integrated	1.10
Utilities	Utilities	0.74
Utilities	Electric - Generation	0.45
Utilities	Non-Electric Utilities	0.32
Utilities	Gas Utilities	0.12
Utilities	Electric - Distr/Trans	0.01

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

Sector	Sub-Sector	% Assets
Materials	Materials	1.96
Energy	Integrated Oil & Gas	1.20
Energy	Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation	0.27
Energy	Oil & Gas Exploration & Production	0.24
Energy	Oil & Gas Equipment & Services	0.19
Energy	Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing	0.13
Materials	Industrial Gases	0.09
Energy	Energy	0.02
Consumer Staples	Food, Beverage & Tobacco	1.18
Consumer Staples	Household & Personal Products	0.52
Consumer Staples	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.17
Cash Equivalents	Treasury Bills	1.19
Communication Services	Telecommunication Services	0.81
Communication Services	Media & Entertainment	0.02
Sovereign (Developed)	Sovereign (Developed)	0.15
Derivatives	FX Derivatives	0.16
Derivatives	Equity Derivatives	0.01
Derivatives	Credit Derivatives	-0.10
Equity	Equity	0.05

The list above represents the average of the Fund's holdings at each quarter-end during the reference period.

The % of assets and sector classifications aligned to economic sectors referred to above are derived from the Schroders Investment Book of Record (IBoR) data source. The % of assets and sector classifications aligned to economic sectors detailed elsewhere in the Audited Annual Report are derived from the Accounting Book of Record (ABoR) maintained by the administrator. As a result of these differing data sources, there may be differences in the % of assets and sector classifications aligned to economic sectors, due to the differing calculation methodologies and data availability of these alternative data sources.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

There was no extent to which the Fund's investments (including transitional and enabling activities) with an environmental objective were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. Taxonomy alignment of this Fund's investments has therefore not been calculated and has as a result been deemed to constitute 0% of the Fund's portfolio.

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

• Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?

☐ Yes:

☐ In fossil gas☐ In nuclear energy

☒ No

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change (“climate change mitigation”) and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.



Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover**
reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies

- **capital expenditure**
(CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.

- **operational expenditure** (OpEx)
reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy-alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy-alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



*For the purpose of these graphs, ‘sovereign bonds’ consist of all sovereign exposures

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

²As there is no Taxonomy-alignment, there is no impact on the graph if sovereign bonds are excluded (i.e. the percentage of Taxonomy-aligned investments remains 0%) and the Management Company therefore believes that there is no need to mention this information.

Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective

Transitional activities

are economic activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

• *What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?*

As per the above, the share of investments by the Fund in transitional and enabling activities has been deemed to constitute 0% of the Fund's portfolio.

• *How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?*

This question is not applicable.



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 27%.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of sustainable investments with a social objective was 26%.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

#2 Other includes cash, which was treated as neutral for sustainability purposes. #2 also includes other investments that were not scored by Schroders' proprietary sustainability tool and so did not contribute towards the Fund's sustainability score.

Minimum safeguards were applied where relevant to investments and derivatives by restricting (as appropriate) investments in counterparties where there were ownership links or exposure to higher risk countries (for the purpose of money laundering, terrorist financing, bribery, corruption, tax evasion and sanctions risks). A firm-wide risk assessment considers the risk rating of each jurisdiction; which includes reference to a number of public statements, indices and world governance indicators issued by the UN, the European Union, the UK Government, the Financial Action Task Force and several Non-Government Organisations (NGOs), such as Transparency International and the Basel Committee.

In addition, new counterparties were reviewed by Schroders' Credit Risk team and approval of a new counterparty was based on a holistic review of the various sources of information available, including, but not limited to, quality of management, ownership structure, location, regulatory and social environment to which each counterparty is subject, and the degree of development of the local banking system and its regulatory framework. Ongoing monitoring was performed through a Schroders' proprietary tool, which supports the analysis of a counterparty's management of environmental, social and governance trends and challenges.

Schroders' Credit Risk team monitored the counterparties and during the reference period, to the extent counterparties were removed from the approved list for all funds in line with our policy and compliance requirements, such counterparties were ineligible for use by the Fund in respect of any relevant investments from the date they were removed.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The actions taken during the reference period to meet the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund were the following:

- The Investment Manager applied sustainability criteria when selecting investments for the Fund;
- The Investment Manager considered the sustainability score of the Fund and of individual investments when selecting the assets held by the Fund;
- A central good governance test was applied to assess good governance practices of investee companies; and
- The Investment Manager undertook engagements covering one or more of the six priority themes set out in our Engagement Blueprint (Link <https://mybrand.schroders.com/m/3222ea4ed44a1f2c/original/schroders-engagement-blueprint.pdf>). A summary of the Fund's engagement activity, including the number of issuers engaged with and the related theme, is shown above in the question 'How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?'. Through our engagement activities, we build relationships and have a two-way dialogue with our investee companies.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Reference

No index was designated as a reference benchmark for the purpose of attaining the environmental or

SFDR Periodic Report (continued)

Reference Period: 1 January 2024 - 31 December 2024

benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

social characteristics promoted by the Fund.

• How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

• How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

• How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

This question is not applicable for this Fund.

• How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

This question is not applicable for this Fund.