

Appendix 3: Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) disclosure

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: **Capital Group New Perspective Fund (LUX)**

Legal entity identifier: **222100I2U5PFCXI5XS34**

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Environmental and/or social characteristics¹

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?	
<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective: ____%</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It made sustainable investments with a social objective: ____%</p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of 58.69% of sustainable investments</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> with a social objective</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments</p>



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

The Fund promoted the following environmental and social (E/S) characteristics through:

- Management of a Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) for its investments in corporate issuers that is generally at least 30% lower than the MSCI ACWI Index ('Index'),
- At time of purchase exclusions on corporate issuers, with respect to certain sectors such as tobacco, fossil fuel and weapons, as well as companies violating the principles of the United Nations Global Compact (UNG). The negative screening policy applied can be found on: <https://www.capitalgroup.com/content/dam/cgc/tenants/eacg/negative-screening-policy.pdf>.
- Investment in companies that followed good governance practices

Throughout the reference period, at least 90% of the Fund's investments (excluding cash, cash equivalents as per the Pre-contractual disclosure), at the time of purchase, were selected based on the E/S characteristics as described above.

¹ Unless otherwise stated, the information provided is as of 31 December 2024. Certain environmental and/or social characteristics disclosed in the pre-contractual disclosures may have changed over the reporting period. For detailed information on these changes, please refer to the notices sent to shareholders, which are also available at capitalgroup.com/international.

● **How did the sustainability indicators perform?**

The following sustainability indicators have been used to measure the attainment of the E/S characteristics promoted by the Fund:

Sustainability indicator	Results
WACI of the corporate issuers compared to Index	44% below index
Percentage of corporate issuers that failed a screen under the Negative Screening Policy [at the time of purchase]	0%
Percentage of Sustainable Investments	58.69%

In line with the Pre-contractual disclosures, the sustainability indicators percentages are calculated excluding cash and cash equivalents. Unless otherwise state percentages are as at the 31 December 2024.

● **...and compared to previous periods?**

Sustainability indicator	2024	2023
WACI of the corporate issuers compared to Index	WACI of the corporate issuers was 44% below the Index as at 31 December 2024	WACI of the corporate issuers was 36% below the Index as at 31 December 2023
	On average the WACI of the corporate issuers was 38% below the Index during the reference period	On average the WACI of the corporate issuers was 36% below the Index during the reference period
Percentage of corporate issuers that failed a screen under the Negative Screening Policy [at the time of purchase]	0%	0%
Percentage of Sustainable Investments	58.69%	n.a.

● **What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?**

From the 31 October 2024, the Fund committed to making a minimum allocation to sustainable investments of 10%, being those investments that have undergone the Investment Adviser's process of identifying sustainable investments, which includes confirming that they also did no significant harm to any environmental or social objective and followed good governance practices. In addition, these investments satisfied the Negative Screening Policy.

The Investment Adviser's sustainable investment assessment included an assessment on whether companies' products and services are majority-aligned, or transitioning towards higher positive alignment, with any single or combination of sustainable investment themes focused on global social and environmental challenges as identified by the Investment Adviser. Specific United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (the "SDGs") are considered as the themes are defined. The themes address needs such as but not limited to: (i) energy transition; (ii) health & wellbeing; (iii) sustainable cities & communities; (iv) responsible consumption; (v) clean water & sanitation; (vi) education & information access, and (vii) financial inclusion.

As at the year-end, 58.69% of the Fund's investments (excluding cash and cash equivalents) were sustainable investments.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

● ***How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?***

The sustainable investments made by the Fund did not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective. The Fund considered the mandatory principal adverse impacts (PAIs) as set out in Table 1 of Annex I of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 for corporate investments and companies deemed by the Investment Adviser to be causing significant harm, based on these PAIs, were not considered sustainable investments.

- How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

The Investment Adviser took all the mandatory PAIs into account.

Through its Negative Screening Policy, the Investment Adviser took into account PAI 4 on exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector as well as PAI 10 on United Nations Global Compact violators and Principal Adverse Impact 14 on controversial weapons.

For the remaining mandatory PAIs:

1. Where sufficient and reliable quantitative data was available across the investment universe, the Investment Adviser used third-party data and defined thresholds to determine whether the adverse impacts associated with the company's activities were potentially significant (the interpretation of the prescribed threshold was made in comparison with the overall investment universe and/or with the peer group);
2. Where data availability and quality were deemed not sufficient, the Investment Adviser assessed the potential significant harm of a company based on a qualitative analysis.

Where third party data or the Investment Adviser's assessment indicated that a company is potentially doing significant harm based on a PAI threshold, the Investment Adviser performed additional due diligence to better understand and assess the negative impacts indicated by the third party or the proprietary data. If the Investment Adviser concluded that the company is not causing significant harm based on its additional due diligence, it proceeded with the investment and the rationale for such decision has been documented. For example, the Investment Adviser could have concluded that a company is not causing significant harm if (i) the Investment Adviser had reason to believe that third-party data was inaccurate and the Investment Adviser's own research demonstrated that the company is not causing significant harm; or (ii) the company was taking steps to mitigate or remediate that harm through appropriate actions which were accompanied by meaningful signs of improvement and positive change.

- Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

The sustainable investments are aligned with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, as follows:

the Investment Adviser has reviewed issuers involved in significant ESG controversies, with a focus on those that conflict with existing global standards, including guidelines from the UNGC and the OECD. In accordance with the Negative Screening Policy, the Investment Adviser has excluded companies that were found to be in breach of the UNGC. While other incidents did not automatically result in the exclusion of companies from the Fund's investment universe, the Investment Adviser ensured that appropriate action to remediate the concerns were taken.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific EU criteria.

The "do not significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Fund considered principal adverse impact (PAI) indicators during the investment-decision process of investments in corporate issuers as described in the table below. During the reference period, on average corporate issuers represented 100% of the portfolio (excluding cash and derivatives).

PAI indicator	Integration process	Threshold (when applicable)
PAI 1 – GHG emissions	PAI 1 was considered as part of the Fund's managements of its WACI for its investments in corporate issuers. PAI 1 covers Scopes 1, 2 and 3 financed emission while the calculation of the WACI is based on Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions expressed as revenue intensity of the issuer: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scope 1: direct emissions from the investee company's facilities, Scope 2: indirect emissions linked to the investee company's energy consumption. The WACI of the portfolio is assessed on an ongoing basis to help the Fund remain within the target level. This allows the Investment Adviser to measure the carbon footprint and carbon intensity of the portfolio.	Management of the carbon footprint (WACI) of its investment in corporate issuers that is generally at least 30% lower than the Index.
PAI 4 – Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	These PAIs were considered when the investment adviser evaluated and applied ESG and norms-based screening to implement exclusions on corporate issuers. To support this screening it relied on third party provider(s) who identified an issuer's participation in or the revenue which they derived from activities that are inconsistent with the ESG and norms-based screens	Exclusion of companies that generate over 10% of their revenue from oil sands extraction and/or Arctic oil production and/or from the production and/or distribution of thermal coal as identified through the relevant MSCI Maximum Percentage of Revenue factor name.
PAI 10 – Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises		Exclusion of companies that in the investment adviser's opinion are violating the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) principles.
PAI 14 – Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exclusion of companies that have any ties to controversial weapons: cluster munitions, landmines, biological/chemical weapons, depleted uranium weapons, blinding laser weapons, incendiary weapons, and/or non-detectable fragments. Companies are identified through the MSCI's Controversial Weapons– Any Tie factor name Exclusion of companies involved in intentional production of weapons with exclusive use as nuclear weapons. Companies are identified through the MSCI's Weapons



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting the **greatest proportion of investments** of the financial product during the reference period which is:
From 1 Jan 2024 to 31 Dec 2024

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
MICROSOFT CORP	Information Technology	3.84%	UNITED STATES
META PLATFORMS INC CL A	Communication Services	3.36%	UNITED STATES
BROADCOM INC	Information Technology	2.84%	UNITED STATES
TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING CO LTD	Information Technology	2.83%	TAIWAN
NOVO NORDISK AS B	Health Care	2.81%	DENMARK
TESLA INC	Consumer Discretionary	2.06%	UNITED STATES
NVIDIA CORP	Information Technology	1.78%	UNITED STATES
ELI LILLY AND CO	Health Care	1.62%	UNITED STATES
ASTRAZENECA PLC (GBP)	Health Care	1.52%	UNITED KINGDOM
NETFLIX INC	Communication Services	1.26%	UNITED STATES
VERTEX PHARMACEUTICALS INC	Health Care	1.15%	UNITED STATES
TOTALENERGIES SE	Energy	1.11%	FRANCE
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO	Financials	1.05%	UNITED STATES
ALPHABET INC CL C	Communication Services	1.00%	UNITED STATES
ESSILORLUXOTTICA SA	Health Care	0.98%	FRANCE

The top investments are calculated as an average of the relevant quarter end positions held by the Fund during the reference period.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Please find information regarding the proportion of sustainability-related investments in the following sub-sections.

Asset allocation

describes the share of investments in specific assets.


● What was the asset allocation?

As at the 31 December 2024 the Fund invested 100% of its assets into issuers that attained the promoted E/S characteristics (#1). From this:

- 58.69% were invested in sustainable investments as defined by the Investment adviser.
- 6.38% of the Fund were invested into sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with SFDR (Other environmental) and 0.53% with an environmental objective aligned with EU Taxonomy. 51.87% were invested into sustainable investment with a social objective (Social).

0% of the Fund's investments did not align with the E/S characteristics promoted by the Fund (#2). Any investments that did not align with the E/S characteristics promoted by the Fund were held to attain the investment objective of the Fund.

In line with Pre-contractual Disclosure, cash and/or cash equivalents were excluded from the asset allocation and were not considered to be used to attain the E/S characteristics promoted by the Fund.



● *In which economic sectors were the investments made?*

The Fund invested in the following economic sectors:

Sector	Sub-Sector	Average Weight
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	Advertising	0.30%
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	Integrated Telecommunication Services	0.12%
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	Interactive Home Entertainment	0.76%
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	Interactive Media & Services	6.57%
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	Movies & Entertainment	1.74%
COMMUNICATION SERVICES	Wireless Telecommunication Services	0.69%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Apparel Retail	0.23%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Apparel Accessories & Luxury Goods	1.14%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Automobile Manufacturers	3.75%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Automotive Parts & Equipment	0.07%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Broadline Retail	2.80%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Casinos & Gaming	0.91%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Footwear	0.38%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Home Improvement Retail	0.41%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Hotels Resorts & Cruise Lines	3.99%
CONSUMER DISCRETIONARY	Restaurants	1.31%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Agricultural Products & Services	0.12%

CONSUMER STAPLES	Brewers	0.40%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Consumer Staples Merchandise Retail	1.15%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Distillers & Vintners	0.09%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Food Retail	0.11%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Household Products	0.12%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Packaged Foods & Meats	1.25%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Personal Care Products	0.35%
CONSUMER STAPLES	Soft Drinks & Non-alcoholic Beverages	0.33%
ENERGY	Integrated Oil & Gas	1.50%
ENERGY	Oil & Gas Equipment & Services	0.30%
ENERGY	Oil & Gas Exploration & Production	0.20%
ENERGY	Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation	0.24%
FINANCIALS	Asset Management & Custody Banks	1.31%
FINANCIALS	Diversified Banks	3.52%
FINANCIALS	Diversified Capital Markets	0.39%
FINANCIALS	Financial Exchanges & Data	1.90%
FINANCIALS	Insurance Brokers	0.95%
FINANCIALS	Investment Banking & Brokerage	0.27%
FINANCIALS	Life & Health Insurance	0.55%
FINANCIALS	Multi-line Insurance	0.48%
FINANCIALS	Property & Casualty Insurance	0.93%
FINANCIALS	Reinsurance	0.12%
FINANCIALS	Transaction & Payment Processing Services	1.83%
HEALTH CARE	Biotechnology	3.34%
HEALTH CARE	Health Care Equipment	2.14%
HEALTH CARE	Health Care Supplies	1.42%
HEALTH CARE	Life Sciences Tools & Services	1.70%
HEALTH CARE	Pharmaceuticals	5.42%
INDUSTRIALS	Aerospace & Defense	2.89%
INDUSTRIALS	Agricultural & Farm Machinery	0.13%
INDUSTRIALS	Air Freight & Logistics	1.29%
INDUSTRIALS	Building Products	1.45%

INDUSTRIALS	Construction Machinery & Heavy Transportation Eqp	0.75%
INDUSTRIALS	Diversified Support Services	0.38%
INDUSTRIALS	Electrical Components & Equipment	1.84%
INDUSTRIALS	Environmental & Facilities Services	0.04%
INDUSTRIALS	Heavy Electrical Equipment	0.18%
INDUSTRIALS	Human Resource & Employment Services	0.23%
INDUSTRIALS	Industrial Conglomerates	0.36%
INDUSTRIALS	Industrial Machinery & Supplies & Components	0.68%
INDUSTRIALS	Passenger Airlines	0.57%
INDUSTRIALS	Passenger Ground Transportation	0.17%
INDUSTRIALS	Rail Transportation	0.12%
INDUSTRIALS	Research & Consulting Services	0.60%
INDUSTRIALS	Trading Companies & Distributors	0.83%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Application Software	2.51%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Communications Equipment	0.78%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Electronic Components	0.19%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Electronic Equipment & Instruments	0.45%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Internet Services & Infrastructure	1.78%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	It Consulting & Other Services	0.60%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Semiconductor Materials & Equipment	1.52%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Semiconductors	9.62%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Systems Software	4.00%
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	Technology Hardware Storage & Peripherals	1.18%
MATERIALS	Copper	0.48%
MATERIALS	Diversified Metals & Mining	0.41%
MATERIALS	Fertilizers & Agricultural Chemicals	0.28%
MATERIALS	Industrial Gases	0.89%
MATERIALS	Specialty Chemicals	1.33%
MATERIALS	Steel	0.09%
REAL ESTATE	Data Center Reits	0.15%
REAL ESTATE	Industrial Reits	0.26%
UTILITIES	Electric Utilities	0.07%

UTILITIES	Independent Power Producers & Energy Traders	0.08%
UTILITIES	Multi-utilities	0.59%
Cash		2.67%
Total		100%

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

While the Fund promoted environmental characteristics within the meaning of Article 8 of the SFDR, its commitment to make “environmentally sustainable investments” within the meaning of the Taxonomy Regulation was set at 0% (including in transitional and enabling activities). However, during the reference period, the Fund held investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy and the percentages of those investments by Turnover, CapEx and OpEx are detailed below.

● Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy²?

☐ Yes:

☐ In fossil gas

☐ In nuclear energy


☒ No

² Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change (“climate change mitigation”) and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective -see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- **capital expenditure (CapEx)** showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure (OpEx)** reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.*



Percentages in the above table are calculated excluding cash and/or cash equivalents.

● What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

The Fund did not commit to a minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities, however the share of investment in such activities within the meaning of the EU Taxonomy are shown below. Investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy are incidental and are not an objective pursued by this Fund.


Indicator	Enabling (%)	Transitioning (%)
Turnover	0.45%	0.00%
CapEx	0.65%	0.07%
OpEx	0.74%	0.01%

Percentages in the above table are calculated excluding cash and/or cash equivalents.

- **How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?**

Indicator	Type of activities	2024	2023
Turnover	Taxonomy-alignment (including sov. bonds)	0.53%	0.31%
Turnover	Enabling	0.45%	0.22%
Turnover	Transition	0.00%	0.00%
CapEx	Taxonomy-alignment (including sov. bonds)	1.34%	1.15%
CapEx	Enabling	0.65%	0.31%
CapEx	Transition	0.07%	0.04%
OpEx	Taxonomy-alignment (including sov. bonds)	0.90%	0.78%
OpEx	Enabling	0.74%	0.48%
OpEx	Transition	0.01%	0.01%

Due to a change in data providers, the percentage of investments that were aligned with EU Taxonomy for 2023 have been recalculated and restated to aid comparability with the current year percentages.

 are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The total share of sustainable investment with an environmental objective not aligned to the EU Taxonomy 6.38%.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of socially sustainable investments made by the Fund is 51.78%.



What investments were included under "Other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The investments under the category #2 "Other" included investments (including derivatives) which were neither aligned with the E/S characteristics nor qualified as sustainable investments and that were held to attain the investment objective of the Fund.

These investments did not follow any minimum environmental or social safeguards.

The asset allocation calculation that categorises the investments into #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics and #2 Other excludes cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents were held for liquidity purposes to support the Fund's overall investment objective.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The E/S characteristics of the Fund were based on the Negative Screening Policy. The Investment Adviser identified certain issuers or groups of issuers that it excluded (such as companies generating more than 5% of their revenue from the manufacture of tobacco products or companies that have any ties with controversial weapons) from the portfolio in order to attain the E/S characteristics promoted by the Fund.

The Fund applied investment restrictions rules on a pre-trade basis in portfolio management systems to prohibit investment in companies or issuers based on the exclusion criteria. The Fund also underwent regular/systematic post-trade compliance checks performed by Capital Group's independent guideline monitoring function.

When the monitoring function identifies previously eligible issuers that subsequently failed an appropriate screen and the investment no longer attained the E/S characteristics of the Fund, the Investment Adviser looked to sell the issuer within six months from the date of such determination, subject to the best interests of investors in the Fund.

During the reference period, no investments breaching the exclusion criteria were purchased and there were no passive breaches of the Fund.

The Investment Adviser also monitored the WACI of the Fund, compared to that of its selected index, on an ongoing basis to ensure the Fund met its target of being 30% below the index.

As part of its good governance practices assessment, the Investment Adviser also engaged in regular dialogue with companies on corporate governance issues and exercised its proxy voting rights for the entities in which the Fund invested.



How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

● *How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?*

Not applicable

● *How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?*

Not applicable

● *How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?*

Not applicable

● *How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?*

Not applicable